

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 i 3-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,663	02/07/2002	Dongfeng Jing	08212/000S007-US0	3310
38879	7590 03/12/2007	EXAMINER		
DARBY & DARBY P.C. P.O. BOX 5257			POWERS, WILLIAM S	
NEW YORK,	NY 10150-6257		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2134	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	03/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/072,663	JING ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		William S. Powers	2134			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 De	ecember 2006.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 2/7/2002 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Áttachment	t(s)					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/26/2006 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

As to Applicant's argument that "Khalil merely discusses a home agent requesting a distribution center to generate three encryption keys, and to transmit the three encryption keys to the home agent for distribution to a mobile node and a foreign agent", Applicant is directed to Khalil, page 17, lines 10-21 which states, "the home AAA server also provides the functionality of the key distribution center."

The arguments directed to the newly introduced limitations are addressed below.

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter

Art Unit: 2134

which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The added limitation, "send the Reg-Req message including the second at least one key", in line19-20 of claim 1 and "a first one of the plurality of the session keys is sent by the AAAH via the Reg-Req message", in lines 10-11 of claim 13 is considered new matter. The relaying of the Reg-Req message from the AAAH to the HA is discussed on page 15, line 27-page 16, line 2. The passage references the receipt of the Reg-Req message and generates session keys and distributing the session keys are "in a secure fashion", but does not state that any session key is included in the Reg-Req message that is sent to the HA.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1-3 and 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO2001/26322 to Khalil et al. (hereinafter Khalil) in view of US Patent No. 6,948,074 o Borella et al. (hereinafter Borella) in still further view of US Patent Application No. 2001/0016492 to Igarashi et al. (hereinafter Igarashi).

As to claim 1, Khalil teaches:

a. An MN that is configured to generate a Reg-Req message (Khalil, page 12, lines 15-22) that includes Diffie-Hellman parameters that are used to generate session keys (Khalil, page 23, lines 4-7).

Khalil does not expressly mention the use of signatures in the secure messaging system of the invention. However, in an analogous art, Borella teaches producing signatures using the Diffie-Hellman protocol (Borella, column 10, lines 38-42).

Art Unit: 2134

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to implement the mobile node registration of Khalil with the Diffie-Hellman signatures of Borella in order to provide an authentication parameter within the message.

- Initiate an authentication session by sending the Reg-Req message (Khalil, page 12, lines 15-22).
- c. Receive a Reg-Reply message that includes session keys that may be used to directly communicate with the AAAH, AAAF, HA, and FA nodes while the MN is in a foreign authority, wherein the session keys are encrypted and wherein the session keys include a first at least one key, a second a least one key, and a third at least one key (Key 0, Key 1 and Key 3 are used by the MN to communicate with the other entities) (Khalil, page 13, lines 20-32).
- d. An FA that is configured to receive the Reg-Req message (Khalil, page 12, lines 25-28).
- e. Ensure that the authentication session is valid and when valid, sign and send the Reg-Req message; otherwise, end the authentication session (using MD5 as a security standard in communications between entities) (Borella, column 10, lines 35-48).
- f. Receive, and authenticate (Borella, column 10, lines 35-48) the Reg-Reply message decrypt at least one key of the session keys sign, and send the Reg-Reply message to the MN (the session key is in unencrypted form, but is sent over a secure communications pathway that resulted from IKE protocol to protect the session key for the FA from detection) (Khalil, page 13, lines 20-30).

Application/Control Number: 10/072,663

Art Unit: 2134

g. An AAAF that is configured to receive (Khalil, page 18, lines 13-22) and authenticate (Borella, column 10, lines 35-48) the Reg-Req message.

Page 6

Khalil as modified does not expressly mention the AAAF generating session keys. However, in an analogous art, Igarashi teaches generating a first at least one of the session keys, by the AAAF, using the Diffie-Hellman algorithm and the Diffie-Hellman parameters (Igarashi, page 13, paragraphs 267-269).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the mobile node registration of Khalil as modified with the session key generation of the AAAF of Igarashi in order to distribute network device data over different networks as suggested by Igarashi (Igarashi, page 1, paragraph 1).

- h. Add an identifier relating to the Reg-Req message (Borella, column 10, lines 35-48).
- i. Sign and send the Reg-Req message (Borella, column 10, lines 35-48) including the second at least one key (Igarashi, page 5, paragraph 130).
- j. Receive, authenticate, sign (Borella, column 10, lines 35-48) and send the Reg-Reply message to the FA (Khalil, figure 13d).
- k. An AAAH that is configured to receive and authenticate the Reg-Req message (Borella, column 10, lines 35-48).
- I. Generate a second at least one key of the session keys (Khalil, page 19, lines 12-23).

Art Unit: 2134

m. Sign and send the Reg-Req message (Borella, column 10, lines 35-48 and Khalil, page 19, lines 3-11).

- n. Receive and authenticate the Reg-Reply message (Borella, column 10, lines 35-48 and Khalil, figure 13d).
- o. Generate a third at least one key of the session keys (Khalil, page 19, lines 12-23).
- p. Encrypt the session keys (Khalil, page 19, lines 12-23).
- q. Sign and send the Reg-Reply message to the AAAF (Borella, column 10, lines 35-48 and Khalil, figure 13d).
- r. An HA that is configured to receive the Reg-Req message (Khalil, page 19, lines 12-23).
- s. Prepare a Reg-Reply message in response to the Reg-Req message (Khalil, figure 13d).
- t. Send the Reg-Reply message to the AAAF (Khalil, figure 13d).

As to claims 2 and 18, Khalil as modified teaches the Diffie-Hellman parameters include an n, a g, and a p parameter, wherein the parameters are used to generate the session keys (Khalil, page 23, line 1-page 24, line 4) and are used in signing the Reg-Req message and the Reg-Reply message (Borella, column 10, lines 35-48).

Art Unit: 2134

As to claim 3, Khalil as modified teaches wherein the Reg-Req message and the Reg-Reply message include an identifier relating to where the message originated, wherein the identifier is selected from an NAI (Khalil, page 14, lines 22-27).

As to claim 13, Khalil as modified teaches:

- a. Establishing secure associations between a MN, an AAAH, an AAAF, a HA, and a FA to help ensure secure communication (Khalil, page 20, lines 12-32).
- b. Securing a Reg-Req message and a Reg-Reply message used in establishing the secure associations (Khalil, pages 12-14).
- c. Creating a plurality of session keys by the AAAH (Khalil, page 19, lines 12-23) and at least another session key by the AAAF (Igarashi, page 13, paragraphs 267-269).
- d. Distributing the session keys in a secure manner (Keys are distributed through the security associations) (Khalil, page 20, lines 12-32).
- e. A first one of the plurality of the session keys is sent by the AAAH via the Reg-Req message to the HA (Igarashi, page 5, paragraph 130).
- f. A second one of the plurality of the session keys is sent by the AAAH via the Reg-Reply message to the AAAF (Khalil, page 19, lines 12-32).

As to claim 14, Khalil as modified teaches using a home authority and a foreign authority to maintain and help establish the secure associations (Khalil, pages 12-14).

Art Unit: 2134

As to claim 15, Khalil as modified teaches:

a. Establishing a secure association between the MN and the AAAH (Khalil, page 16, line 19-page 17, line 21 and figure 12).

- b. Establishing a secure association between the AAAH and the HA (Khalil, page 16, line 19-page 17, line 21 and figure 12).
- c. Establishing a secure association between the AAAF and the AAAH (Khalil, page 16, line 19-page 17, line 21 and figure 12).
- d. Establishing a secure association between the AAAF and the FA (Khalil, page 16, line 19-page 17, line 21 and figure 12).
- e. Establishing a secure association between the AAAF and the MN (Khalil, page 16, line 19-page 17, line 21 and figure 12).

As to claim 16, Khalil as modified teaches determining when a signature is an authentic signature based on the secure associations and the session keys (Borella, column 10, lines 35-48).

As to claim 17, Khalil as modified teaches:

- a. Signing the Reg-Req message and the Reg-Reply message using the session keys (Borella, column 10, lines 35-48).
- b. Authenticating the received Reg -Req message and the Reg-Reply message (Borella, column 10, lines 35-48).

Art Unit: 2134

8. Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO2001/26322 to Khalil et al. (hereinafter Khalil) in view of US Patent No. 6,948,074 o Borella et al. (hereinafter Borella) in still further view of US Patent Application No. 2001/0016492 to Igarashi et al. (hereinafter Igarashi) as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of US Patent Application No. 2002/0062385 to Dowling et al. (hereinafter Dowling).

As to claim 4, Khalil as modified teaches signing the messages, but does not expressly mention the security associations signing the messages between the nodes of the networks. However, in an analogous art, Dowling teaches messages are signed using a security association between a sender of the message and the message receiver message (Dowling, paragraph 116).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the mobile node registration of Khalil as modified with the security association signatures of Dowling in order to make the message unreadable to third parties as suggested by Dowling (Dowling, paragraph 116).

As to claim 5, Khalil as modified teaches wherein the AAAF is further configured to choose a secret random number y to calculate a parameter $q = g^y \mod n$ according to

Art Unit: 2134

the Diffie-Hellman algorithm that is used in generating the session keys (Khalil, page 23, line 1-page 24, line 4).

As to claim 6, Khalil as modified teaches authenticating the Reg-Req message and the Reg-Reply message further comprises ensuring that the Reg-Req message and the Reg-Reply message came from the sender by checking the signature relating to a security association between the sender and the receiver (Dowling, paragraph 116).

As to claim 7, Khalil as modified teaches the AAAF is further configured to determine the AAAH for the MN in response to the identifier associated with the MN (Igarashi, paragraphs 128-130).

9. Claims 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO2001/26322 to Khalil et al. (hereinafter Khalil) in view of US Patent No. 6,948,074 o Borella et al. (hereinafter Borella) in further view of US Patent Application No. 2001/0016492 to Igarashi et al. (hereinafter Igarashi) and in still further view of US Patent Application No. 2002/0062385 to Dowling et al. (hereinafter Dowling) as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of US Patent No. 6,915,345 to Tummala et al. (hereinafter Tummala).

As to claim 8, Khalil as modified does not expressly mention recording the time of the authentication session. However, in an analogous art, Tummala teaches the AAAF

is further configured to store a time associated with the initiation of the authentication session in order to prevent a Reply message failure (Tummala, column 13, lines 7-12).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the mobile node registration of Khalil as modified with the recording of the time of the authentication session of Tummala in order to denote the acceptable lifetime of the authentication session as suggested by Tummala (Tummala, column 13, lines 7-12).

As to claim 9, Khalil as modified teaches the AAAH is further configured to protect the authentication process from a replay attack, and when the AAAH does not recognize the MN, generate an error (Borella, column 10, lines 5-34).

As to claim 10, Khalil as modified teaches the AAAH is further configured to help the FA directly communicate to the HA through a security association by generating the session keys for the FA, HA, and MN, and distributing the session keys in a secure fashion (generating the session keys and distributing them after setting up security associations between the various nodes) (Khalil, page 13, lines 20-32).

As to claim 11, Khalil as modified teaches distributing the session keys in a secure fashion, further comprises encrypting the session keys (Khalil, page 13, lines 20-32 and page 22, lines 8-13).

Application/Control Number: 10/072,663

Art Unit: 2134

As to claim 12, Khalil as modified teaches wherein the HA is further configured to register a current location of the MN and store the session keys (Khalil, page 13, lines 9-30).

10. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO2001/26322 to Khalil et al. (hereinafter Khalil) in view of US Patent No. 6,948,074 o Borella et al. (hereinafter Borella) in still further view of US Patent Application No. 2001/0016492 to Igarashi et al. (hereinafter Igarashi) as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of US Patent No. 6,785,823 to Abrol et al. (hereinafter Abrol).

As to claim 19, Khalil as modified teaches the Reg-Req message includes an NAI associated with the MN (Khalil, page 14, lines 22-27), a timestamp (lifetime) (Igarashi, paragraph 131) and the Diffie-Hellman parameters (Khalil, page 23, line 1-page 24, line 4). Khalil as modified does not expressly mention a FA challenge. However, in an analogous art, Abrol teaches a challenge issued by the FA as part of the Reg-Reg message (Abrol, column 12, lines 1-5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the mobile node registration of Khalil as modified with the FA challenge incorporated into the Reg-Req message of Abrol in order to improve authentication in a mobile network environment as suggested by Abrol (Abrol, column 1, lines 9-13).

Art Unit: 2134

As to claim 20, Khalil as modified teaches the Reg-Reply message includes an identifier and the session keys (Khalil, page 13, line 20-page 14, line 4 and page 14 lines 22-31).

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William S. Powers whose telephone number is 751 272 8573. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 7:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 2134

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on 571 272 3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William S. Powers Examiner

Art Unit 2134

KAMBIZ ZAND PRIMARY EXAMINER